

Appendix :

A Typical Course Outline

It would be presumptuous of us to tell a professor how to teach a course at this level. On the other hand, we have spent a great deal of time experimenting with different presentations in search of an efficient and pedagogically sound approach. The concepts are simple, but the great amount of detail can cause confusion unless the important issues are emphasized. The following course outline is the result of these experiments; it should be useful to an instructor who is using the book or teaching this type of material for the first time.

The course outline is based on a 15-week term of three hours of lectures a week. The homework assignments, including reading and working the assigned problems, will take 6 to 15 hours a week. The prerequisite assumed is a course in random processes. Typically, it should include Chapters 1 to 6, 8, and 9 of Davenport and Root or Chapters 1 to 10 of Papoulis. Very little specific material in either of these references is used, but the student needs a certain level of sophistication in applied probability theory to appreciate the subject material.

Each lecture unit corresponds to a one-and-one-half-hour lecture and contains a topical outline, the corresponding text material, and additional comments when necessary. Each even-numbered lecture contains a problem assignment. A set of solutions for this collection of problems is available.

In a normal term we get through the first 28 lectures, but this requires a brisk pace and leaves no room for making up background deficiencies. An ideal format would be to teach the material in two 10-week quarters. The expansion from 32 to 40 lectures is easily accomplished (probably without additional planning). A third alternative is two 15-week terms, which would allow time to cover more material in class and reduce the

homework load. We have not tried either of the last two alternatives, but student comments indicate they should work well.

One final word is worthwhile. There is a great deal of difference between reading the text and being able to apply the material to solve actual problems of interest. This book (and therefore presumably any course using it) is designed to train engineers and scientists to solve new problems. The only way for most of us to acquire this ability is by practice. Therefore any effective course must include a fair amount of problem solving and a critique (or grading) of the students' efforts.

	Lecture 1	pp. 1–18
Chapter 1	Discussion of the physical situations that give rise to detection, estimation, and modulation theory problems	
1.1	Detection theory, 1–5 Digital communication systems (known signal in noise), 1–2 Radar/sonar systems (signal with unknown parameters), 3 Scatter communication, passive sonar (random signals), 4 Show hierarchy in Fig. 1.4, 5 Estimation theory, 6–8 PAM and PFM systems (known signal in noise), 6 Range and Doppler estimation in radar (signal with unknown parameters), 7 Power spectrum parameter estimation (random signal), 8 Show hierarchy in Fig. 1.7, 8 Modulation theory, 9–12 Continuous modulation systems (AM and FM), 9 Show hierarchy in Fig. 1.10, 11	
1.2	Various approaches, 12–15 Structured versus nonstructured, 12–15 Classical versus waveform, 15	
1.3	Outline of course, 15–18	

	Lecture 2	pp. 19–33
Chapter 2	Formulation of the hypothesis testing problem, 19–23	
2.2.1	Decision criteria (Bayes), 23–30 Necessary inputs to implement test; a priori probabilities and costs, 23–24 Set up risk expression and find LRT, 25–27 Do three examples in text, introduce idea of sufficient statistic, 27–30 Minimax test, 31–33 Minimum $\Pr(\epsilon)$ test, idea of maximum a posteriori rule, 30	
	Problem Assignment 1	
	1. 2.2.1 2. 2.2.2	

	Lecture 3	pp. 33–52
	Neyman–Pearson tests, 33–34 Fundamental role of LRT, relative unimportance of the criteria, 34 Sufficient statistics, 34 Definition, geometric interpretation	
2.2.2	Performance, idea of ROC, 36–46 Example 1 on pp. 36–38; Bound on $\text{erfc}_*(X)$, (72) Properties of ROC, 44–48 Concave down, slope, minimax	
2.3	M-Hypotheses, 46–52 Set up risk expression, do $M = 3$ case and demonstrate that the decision space has at most two dimensions; emphasize that regardless of the observation space dimension the decision space has at most $M - 1$ dimensions, 52 Develop idea of maximum a posteriori probability test (109)	

Comments

The randomized tests discussed on p. 43 are not important in the sequel and may be omitted in the first reading.

	Lecture 4	pp. 52–62																
2.4	Estimation theory, 52 Model, 52–54 Parameter space, question of randomness, 53 Mapping into observation space, 53 Estimation rule, 53 Bayes estimation, 54–63 Cost functions, 54 Typical single-argument expressions, 55 Mean-square, absolute magnitude, uniform, 55 Risk expression, 55 Solve for $\hat{a}_{\text{ms}}(\mathbf{R})$, $\hat{a}_{\text{map}}(\mathbf{R})$, and $\hat{a}_{\text{mae}}(\mathbf{R})$, 56–58 Linear example, 58–59 Nonlinear example, 62 Problem Assignment 2 <table><tr><td>1.</td><td>2.2.10</td><td>5.</td><td>2.3.3</td></tr><tr><td>2.</td><td>2.2.15</td><td>6.</td><td>2.3.5</td></tr><tr><td>3.</td><td>2.2.17</td><td>7.</td><td>2.4.2</td></tr><tr><td>4.</td><td>2.3.2</td><td>8.</td><td>2.4.3</td></tr></table>		1.	2.2.10	5.	2.3.3	2.	2.2.15	6.	2.3.5	3.	2.2.17	7.	2.4.2	4.	2.3.2	8.	2.4.3
1.	2.2.10	5.	2.3.3															
2.	2.2.15	6.	2.3.5															
3.	2.2.17	7.	2.4.2															
4.	2.3.2	8.	2.4.3															

	Lecture 5	pp. 60–69
	Bayes estimation (<i>continued</i>) Convex cost criteria, 60–61 Optimality of $\hat{a}_{ms}(\mathbf{R})$, 61	
2.4.2	Nonrandom parameter estimation, 63–73 Difficulty with direct approach, 64 Bias, variance, 64 Maximum likelihood estimation, 65 Bounds Cramér-Rao inequality, 66–67 Efficiency, 66 Optimality of $\hat{a}_{ml}(\mathbf{R})$ when efficient estimate exists, 68 Linear example, 68–69	

	Lecture 6	pp. 69–98
	Nonrandom parameter estimation (<i>continued</i>) Nonlinear example, 69 Asymptotic results, 70–71 Intuitive explanation of when C–R bound is accurate, 70–71 Bounds for random variables, 72–73	
2.4.3, 2.4.4 2.5	Assign the sections on multiple parameter estimation and composite hypothesis testing for reading, 74–96	
2.6	General Gaussian problem, 96–116 Definition of a Gaussian random vector, 96 Expressions for $M_{\mathbf{r}}(j\mathbf{v})$, $p_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{R})$, 97 Derive LRT, define quadratic forms, 97–98	
	Problem Assignment 3	
	1. 2.4.9 5. 2.6.1	
	2. 2.4.12 <i>Optional</i>	
	3. 2.4.27 6. 2.5.1	
	4. 2.4.28	

	Lecture 7	pp. 98–133
2.6	General Gaussian problem (<i>continued</i>) Equal covariance matrices, unequal mean vectors, 98–107 Expression for d^2 , interpretation as distance, 99–100 Diagonalization of $\mathbf{Q}$, eigenvalues, eigenvectors, 101–107 Geometric interpretation, 102 Unequal covariance matrices, equal mean vectors, 107–116 Structure of LRT, interpretation as estimator, 107 Diagonal matrices with identical components, χ^2 density, 107–111 Computational problem, motivation of performance bounds, 116	
2.7	Assign section on performance bounds as reading, 116–133	

Comments

1. (p. 99) Note that $\text{Var}[l \mid H_1] = \text{Var}[l \mid H_0]$ and that d^2 completely characterizes the test because l is Gaussian on both hypotheses.

2. (p. 119) The idea of a “tilted” density seems to cause trouble. Emphasize the motivation for tilting.

	Lecture 8	pp. 166–186
Chapter 3		
3.1, 3.2	Extension of results to waveform observations Deterministic waveforms Time-domain and frequency-domain characteriza- tions, 166–169 Orthogonal function representations, 169–174 Complete orthonormal sets, 171 Geometric interpretation, 172–174	
3.3, 3.3.1	Second-moment characterizations, 174–178 Positive definiteness, nonnegative definiteness, and symmetry of covariance functions, 176–177	
3.3.3	Gaussian random processes, 182 Difficulty with usual definitions, 185 Definition in terms of a linear functional, 183 Jointly Gaussian processes, 185 Consequences of definition; joint Gaussian density at any set of times, 184–185	
	Problem Assignment 4	
	1. 2.6.2 <i>Optional</i>	
	2. 2.6.4. 5. 2.7.1	
	3. 2.6.8 6. 2.7.2	
	4. 2.6.10	

Lecture 9

pp. 178–194

- 3.3.2 Orthogonal representation for random processes, 178–182
Choice of coefficients to minimize mean-square representation error, 178
Choice of coordinate system, 179
Karhunen-Loeve expansion, 179
Properties of integral equations, 180–181
Analogy with finite-dimensional case in Section 2.6
The following comparison should be made:

Gaussian definition	
$z = \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{x}$	$z = \int_0^T g(u) x(u) du$
Symmetry	
$K_{ij} = K_{ji}$	$K_x(t, u) = K_x(u, t)$
Nonnegative definiteness	
$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x} \geq 0$	$\int_0^T dt \int_0^T du x(t) K_x(t, u) x(u) \geq 0$
Coordinate system	
$\mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\phi} = \lambda \boldsymbol{\phi}$	$\int_0^T K_x(t, u) \phi(u) du = \lambda \phi(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$
Orthogonality	
$\boldsymbol{\phi}_i^T \boldsymbol{\phi}_j = \delta_{ij}$	$\int_0^T \phi_i(t) \phi_j(t) dt = \delta_{ij}$

- Mercer's theorem, 181
Convergence in mean-square sense, 182

- 3.4, 3.4.1, 3.4.2 Assign Section 3.4 on integral equation solutions for reading, 186–194

	Lecture 10	pp. 186–226
3.4.1	Solution of integral equations, 186–196	
3.4.2	Basic technique, obtain differential equation, solve, and satisfy boundary conditions, 186–191	
3.4.3	Example: Wiener process, 194–196	
3.4.4	White noise and its properties, 196–198 Impulsive covariance function, flat spectrum, orthogonal representation, 197–198	
3.4.5	Optimum linear filter, 198–204 This derivation illustrates variational procedures; the specific result is needed in Chapter 4; the integral equation (144) should be emphasized because it appears in many later discussions; a series solution in terms of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions is adequate for the present	
3.4.6–3.7	Assign the remainder of Chapter 3 for reading; Sections 3.5 and 3.6 are not used in the text (some problems use the results); Section 3.7 is not needed until Section 4.5 (the discussion of vector processes can be avoided until Section 6.3, when it becomes essential), 204–226	
	Problem Assignment 5	
	1. 3.3.1 5. 3.4.4	
	2. 3.3.6 6. 3.4.6	
	3. 3.3.19 7. 3.4.8	
	4. 3.3.22	

Comment

At the beginning of the derivation on p. 200 we assumed $h(t, u)$ was continuous. Whenever there is a white noise component in $r(t)$ (143), this restriction does not affect the performance. When $K_r(t, u)$ does not contain an impulse, a discontinuous filter may perform better. The optimum filter satisfies (138) for $0 \leq u \leq T$ if discontinuities are allowed.

	Lecture 11	pp. 239–257
Chapter 4		
4.1	Physical situations in which detection problem arises Communication, radar/sonar, 239–246	
4.2	Detection of known signals in additive white Gaussian noise, 246–271 Simple binary detection, 247 Sufficient statistic, reduction to scalar problem, 248 Applicability of ROC in Fig. 2.9 with $d^2 = 2E/N_0$, 250–251 Lack of dependence on signal shape, 253 General binary detection, 254–257 Coordinate system using Gram-Schmidt, 254 LRT, reduction to single sufficient statistic, 256 Minimum-distance rule; “largest-of” rule, 257 Expression for d^2 , 256 Optimum signal choice, 257	

Lecture 12**pp. 257–271****4.2.1*****M*-ary detection in white Gaussian noise, 257**

Set of sufficient statistics; Gram-Schmidt procedure leads to at most M (less if signals have some linear dependence); Illustrate with PSK and FSK set, 259 Emphasize equal a priori probabilities and minimum $\Pr(\epsilon)$ criterion. This leads to minimum-distance rules. Go through three examples in text and calculate $\Pr(\epsilon)$

Example 1 illustrates symmetry and rotation, 261

Example 3 illustrates complexity of exact calculation for a simple signal set. Derive bound and discuss accuracy, 261–264

Example 4 illustrates the idea of transmitting sequences of digits and possible performance improvements, 264–267

Sensitivity, 267–271

Functional variation and parameter variation; this is a mundane topic that is usually omitted; it is a crucial issue when we try to implement optimum systems and must measure the quantities needed in the mathematical model

Problem Assignment 6

- | | |
|----------|-----------|
| 1. 4.2.4 | 5. 4.2.16 |
| 2. 4.2.6 | 6. 4.2.23 |
| 3. 4.2.8 | |
| 4. 4.2.9 | |

	Lecture 13	pp. 271–278
4.2.2	Estimation of signal parameters Derivation of likelihood function, 274 Necessary conditions on $\hat{a}_{\text{map}}(r(t))$ and $\hat{a}_{\text{ml}}(r(t))$, 274 Generalization of Cramér-Rao inequality, 275 Conditions for efficient estimates, 276 Linear estimation, 271–273 Simplicity of solution, 272 Relation to detection problem, 273	
4.2.3	Nonlinear estimation, 273–286 Optimum receiver for estimating arrival time (equivalently, the PPM problem), 276 Intuitive discussion of system performance, 277	

	Lecture 14	pp. 278–289
4.2.3	Nonlinear estimation (<i>continued</i>), 278–286 Pulse frequency modulation (PFM), 278 An approximation to the optimum receiver (interval selector followed by selection of local maximum), 279 Performance in weak noise, effect of βT product, 280 Threshold analysis, using orthogonal signal approximation, 280–281 Bandwidth constraints, 282 Design of system under threshold and bandwidth constraints, 282 Total mean-square error, 283–285	
4.2.4	Summary: known signals in white noise, 286–287	
4.3	Introduction to colored noise problem, 287–289 Model, observation interval, motivation for including white noise component	
	Problem Assignment 7 1. 4.2.25 3. 4.2.28 2. 4.2.26	

Comments on Lecture 15 (lecture is on p. 651)

1. As in Section 3.4.5, we must be careful about the endpoints of the interval. If there is a white noise component, we may choose a continuous $g(t)$ without affecting the performance. This leads to an integral equation on an open interval. If there is no white component, we must use a closed interval and the solution will usually contain singularities.

2. On p. 296 it is useful to emphasize the analogy between an inverse kernel and an inverse matrix.

	Lecture 15	pp. 289–333
4.3	Colored noise problem	
4.3.1, 4.3.2,	Possible approaches: Karhunen-Loève expansion, prewhitening filter, generation of sufficient statistic, 289	
4.3.3	Reversibility proof (this idea is used several times in the text), 289–290	
	Whitening derivation, 290–297	
	Define whitening filter, $h_w(t, u)$, 291	
	Define inverse kernel $Q_n(t, u)$ and function for correlator $g(t)$, 292	
	Derive integral equations for above functions, 293–297	
	Draw the three realizations for optimum receiver (Fig. 4.38), 293	
	Construction of $Q_n(t, u)$	
	Interpretation as impulse minus optimum linear filter, 294–295	
	Series solutions, 296–297	
4.3.4	Performance, 301–307	
	Expression for d^2 as quadratic form and in terms of eigenvalues, 302	
	Optimum signal design, 302–303	
	Singularity, 303–305	
	Importance of white noise assumption and effect of removing it	
4.3.8	Duality with known channel problem, 331–333	
4.3.5–4.3.8	Assign as reading,	
	1. Estimation (4.3.5)	
	2. Solution to integral equations (4.3.6)	
	3. Sensitivity (4.3.7)	
	4. Known linear channels (4.3.8)	
	Problem Set 7 (continued)	
	4. 4.3.4 7. 4.3.12	
	5. 4.3.7 8. 4.3.21	
	6. 4.3.8	

	Lecture 16	pp. 333–377
4.4	Signals with unwanted parameters, 333–366 Example of random phase problem to motivate the model, 336 Construction of LRT by integrating out unwanted parameters, 334 Models for unwanted parameters, 334	
4.4.1	Random phase, 335–348 Formulate bandpass model, 335–336 Go to $\Lambda(r(t))$ by inspection, define quadrature sufficient statistics L_c and L_s, 337 Introduce phase density (364), motivate by brief phaselock loop discussion, 337 Obtain LRT, discuss properties of $\ln I_0(x)$, point out it can be eliminated here but will be needed in diversity problems, 338–341 Compute ROC for uniform phase case, 344 Introduce Marcum's Q function Discuss extension to binary and M-ary case, signal selection in partly coherent channels	
4.4.2	Random amplitude and phase, 349–366 Motivate Rayleigh channel model, piecewise constant approximation, possibility of continuous measurement, 349–352 Formulate in terms of quadrature components, 352 Solve general Gaussian problem, 352–353 Interpret as filter-squarer receiver and estimator-correlator receiver, 354 Apply Gaussian result to Rayleigh channel, 355 Point out that performance was already computed in Chapter 2 Discuss Rician channel, modifications necessary to obtain receiver, relation to partially-coherent channel in Section 4.4.1, 360–364	
4.5–4.7	Assign Section 4.6 to be read before next lecture; Sections 4.5 and 4.7 may be read later, 366–377	

Lecture 16 (*continued*)

Problem Assignment 8

- | | |
|-----------|-----------|
| 1. 4.4.3 | 5. 4.4.29 |
| 2. 4.4.5 | 6. 4.4.42 |
| 3. 4.4.13 | 7. 4.6.6 |
| 4. 4.4.27 | |
-

	Lecture 17	pp. 370–460
4.6	Multiple-parameter estimation, 370–374 Set up a model and derive MAP equations for the colored noise case, 374 The examples can be left as a reading assignment but the MAP equations are needed for the next topic	
Chapter 5	Continuous waveform estimation, 423–460	
5.1, 5.2	Model of problem, typical continuous systems such as AM, PM, and FM; other problems such as channel estimation; linear and nonlinear modulation, 423–426 Restriction to no-memory modulation, 427 Definition of $\hat{a}_{\text{map}}(r(t))$ in terms of an orthogonal expansion, complete equivalence to multiple parameter problem, 429–430 Derivation of MAP equations (31–33), 427–431 Block diagram interpretation, 432–433 Conditions for an efficient estimate to exist, 439	
5.3–5.6	Assign remainder of Chapter 5 for reading, 433–460	

	Lecture 18	pp. 467–481
Chapter 6	Linear modulation	
6.1	Model for linear problem, equations for MAP interval estimation, 467–468 Property 1: MAP estimate can be obtained by using linear processor; derive integral equation, 468 Property 2: MAP and MMSE estimates coincide for linear modulation because efficient estimate exists, 470 Formulation of linear <i>point</i> estimation problem, 470 Gaussian assumption, 471 Structured approach, linear processors Property 3: Derivation of optimum linear processor, 472 Property 4: Derivation of error expression [emphasize (27)], 473 Property 5: Summary of information needed, 474 Property 6: Optimum error and received waveform are uncorrelated, 474 Property 6A: In addition, $e_o(t)$ and $r(u)$ are statistically independent under Gaussian assumption, 475 Optimality of linear filter under Gaussian assumption, 475–477 Property 7: Prove no other processor could be better, 475 Property 7A: Conditions for uniqueness, 476 Generalization of criteria (Gaussian assumption), 477 Property 8: Extend to convex criteria; uniqueness for strictly convex criteria; extend to monotone increasing criteria, 477–478 Relationship to interval and MAP estimators Property 9: Interval estimate is a collection of point estimates, 478 Property 10: MAP point estimates and MMSE point estimates coincide, 479	

Lecture 18 (*continued*)

Summary, 481

Emphasize interplay between structure, criteria, and Gaussian assumption

Point out that the optimum linear filter plays a central role in nonlinear modulation (Chapter II.2) and detection of random signals in random noise (Chapter II.3)

Problem Assignment 9

- | | | | |
|----|-------|----|-------|
| 1. | 5.2.1 | 3. | 6.1.1 |
| 2. | 5.3.5 | 4. | 6.1.4 |
-

Lecture 19

pp. 481–515

6.2

Realizable linear filters, stationary processes, infinite time (Wiener-Hopf problem)

Modification of general equation to get Wiener-Hopf equation, 482

Solution of Wiener-Hopf equation, rational spectra, 482

Whitening property; illustrate with one-pole example and then indicate general case, 483–486

Demonstrate a unique spectrum factorization procedure, 485

Express $H_o'(j\omega)$ in terms of original quantities; define “realizable part” operator, 487

Combine to get final solution, 488

Example of one-pole spectrum plus white noise, 488–493

Desired signal is message shifted in time

Find optimum linear filter and resulting error, 494

Prove that the mean-square error is a monotone increasing function of α , the prediction time; emphasize importance of filtering with delay to reduce the mean-square error, 493–495

Unrealizable filters, 496–497

Solve equation by using Fourier transforms

Emphasize that error performance is easy to compute and bounds the performance of a realizable system; unrealizable error represents ultimate performance; filters can be approximated arbitrarily closely by allowing delay

Closed-form error expressions in the presence of white noise, 498–508

Indicate form of result and its importance in system studies

Assign derivation as reading

Discuss error behavior for Butterworth family, 502

Assign the remainder of Section 6.2 as reading, 508–515

Problem Assignment 9 (continued)

5. 6.2.1 7. 6.2.7

6. 6.2.3 8. 6.2.43

	Lecture 20*	pp. 515–538
6.3	State-variable approach to optimum filters (Kalman-Bucy problem), 515–575	
6.3.1	Motivation for differential equation approach, 515 State variable representation of system, 515–526 Differential equation description Initial conditions and state variables Analog computer realization Process generation Example 1: First-order system, 517 Example 2: System with poles only, introduction of vector differential equation, vector block diagrams, 518 Example 3: General linear differential equation, 521 Vector-inputs, time-varying coefficients, 527 System observation model, 529 State transition matrix, $\Phi(t, \tau)$, 529 Properties, solution for time-invariant case, 529–531 Relation to impulse response, 532 Statistical properties of system driven by white noise Properties 13 and 14, 532–534 Linear modulation model in presence of white noise, 534 Generalizations of model, 535–538	
	Problem Assignment 10	
	1. 6.3.1 4. 6.3.9 2. 6.3.4 5. 6.3.12 3. 6.3.7(a, b) 6. 6.3.16	

*Lectures 20–22 may be omitted if time is a limitation and the material in Lectures 28–30 on the radar/sonar problem is of particular interest to the audience. For graduate students the material in 20–22 should be used because of its fundamental nature and importance in current research.

	Lecture 21	pp. 538–546
6.3.2	Derivation of Kalman-Bucy estimation equations Step 1: Derive the differential equation that $\mathbf{h}_o(t, \tau)$ satisfies, 539 Step 2: Derive the differential equation that $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ satisfies, 540 Step 3: Relate $\xi_P(t)$, the error covariance matrix, and $\mathbf{h}_o(t, t)$, 542 Step 4: Derive the variance equation, 542 Properties of variance equation Property 15: Steady-state solution, relation to Wiener filter, 543 Property 16: Relation to two simultaneous linear vector, equations; analytic solution procedure for constant coefficient case, 545	

	Lecture 22	pp. 546–586						
6.3.3	Applications to typical estimation problems, 546–566 Example 1: One-pole spectrum, transient behavior, 546 Example 3: Wiener process, relation to pole-splitting, 555 Example 4: Canonic receiver for stationary messages in single channel, 556 Example 5: FM problem; emphasize that optimum realizable estimation commutes with linear transformations, <i>not</i> linear filtering (this point seems to cause confusion unless discussed explicitly), 557–561 Example 7: Diversity system, maximal ratio combining, 564–565							
6.3.4	Generalizations, 566–575 List the eight topics in Section 6.3.4 and explain why they are of interest; assign derivations as reading Compare state-variable approach to conventional Wiener approach, 575							
6.4	Amplitude modulation, 575–584 Derive synchronous demodulator; assign the remainder of Section 6.4 as reading							
6.5–6.6	Assign remainder of Chapter 6 as reading. Emphasize the importance of optimum linear filters in other areas							
	Problem Assignment 11 <table><tr><td>1. 6.3.23</td><td>4. 6.3.37</td></tr><tr><td>2. 6.3.27</td><td>5. 6.3.43</td></tr><tr><td>3. 6.3.32</td><td>6. 6.3.44</td></tr></table>		1. 6.3.23	4. 6.3.37	2. 6.3.27	5. 6.3.43	3. 6.3.32	6. 6.3.44
1. 6.3.23	4. 6.3.37							
2. 6.3.27	5. 6.3.43							
3. 6.3.32	6. 6.3.44							

	Lecture 23*
Chapter II-2	Nonlinear modulation Model of angle modulation system Applications; synchronization, analog communication Intuitive discussion of what optimum demodulator should be
II-2.2	MAP estimation equations
II-2.3	Derived in Chapter I-5, specialize to phase modulation Interpretation as unrealizable block diagram Approximation by realizable loop followed by unrealizable postloop filter Derivation of linear model, loop error variance constraint Synchronization example Design of filters Nonlinear behavior Cycle-skipping Indicate method of performing exact nonlinear analysis

*The problem assignments for Lectures 23–32 will be included in Appendix 1 of Part II.

	Lecture 24
II-2.5	Frequency modulation - Design of optimum demodulator - Optimum loop filters and postloop filters - Signal-to-noise constraints - Bandwidth constraints - Indicate comparison of optimum demodulator and conventional limiter-discriminator - Discuss other design techniques
II-2.6	Optimum angle modulation - Threshold and bandwidth constraints - Derive optimum pre-emphasis filter - Compare with optimum FM systems

Lecture 25

II-2.7

Comparison of various systems for transmitting analog messages

Sampled and quantized systems

Discuss simple schemes such as binary and M -ary signaling

Derive expressions for system transmitting at channel capacity

Sampled, continuous amplitude systems

Develop PFM system, use results from Chapter I-4, and compare with continuous FM system

Bounds on analog transmission

Rate-distortion functions

Expression for Gaussian sources

Channel capacity formulas

Comparison for infinite-bandwidth channel of continuous modulation schemes with the bound

Bandlimited message and bandlimited channel

Comparison of optimum FM with bound

Comparison of simple companding schemes with bound

Summary of analog message transmission and continuous waveform estimation

Lecture 26

Chapter II-3
Gaussian signals in Gaussian noise
3.2.1

Simple binary problem, white Gaussian noise on H_0 and H_1 , additional colored Gaussian noise on H_1

Derivation of LRT using Karhunen-Loève expansion

Various receiver realizations

Estimator-correlator

Filter-squarer

Structure with optimum realizable filter as component (this discussion is most effective when Lectures 20–22 are included; it should be mentioned, however, even if they were not studied)

Computation of bias terms

Performance bounds using $\mu(s)$ and tilted probability densities (at this point we must digress and develop the material in Section 2.7 of Chapter I-2).

Interpretation of $\mu(s)$ in terms of realizable filtering errors

Example: Structure and performance bounds for the case in which additive colored noise has a one-pole spectrum

Lecture 27

3.2.2

General binary problem

Derive LRT using whitening approach

Eliminate explicit dependence on white noise

Singularity

Derive $\mu(s)$ expression

Symmetric binary problems

$\Pr(\epsilon)$ expressions, relation to Bhattacharyya distance

Inadequacy of signal-to-noise criterion

	Lecture 28
Chapter II-3	Special cases of particular importance
3.2.3	Separable kernels Time diversity Frequency diversity Eigenfunction diversity Optimum diversity
3.2.4	Coherently undetectable case Receiver structure Show how $\mu(s)$ degenerates into an expression involving d^2
3.2.5	Stationary processes, long observation times Simplifications that occur in receiver structure Use the results in Lecture 26 to show when these approximations are valid Asymptotic formulas for $\mu(s)$ Example: Do same example as in Lecture 26 Plot P_D versus kT for various E/N_0 ratios and P_F 's Find the optimum kT product (this is continuous version of the optimum diversity problem)
	Assign remainder of Chapter II-3 (Sections 3.3–3.6) as reading

	Lecture 29
Chapter II-4	Radar-sonar problem
4.1	Representation of narrow-band signals and processes Typical signals, quadrature representation, complex signal representation. Derive properties: energy, correlation, moments; narrow-band random processes; quadrature and complex waveform representation. Complex state variables Possible target models; develop target hierarchy in Fig. 4.6
4.2	Slowly-fluctuating point targets System model Optimum receiver for estimating range and Doppler Develop time-frequency autocorrelation function and radar ambiguity function Examples: Rectangular pulse Ideal ambiguity function Sequence of pulses Simple Gaussian pulse Effect of frequency modulation on the signal ambiguity function Accuracy relations

Lecture 30

- | | |
|--------------|---|
| 4.2.4 | <p>Properties of autocorrelation functions and ambiguity functions. Emphasize:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Property 3: Volume invariance Property 4: Symmetry Property 6: Scaling Property 11: Multiplication Property 13: Selftransform Property 14: Partial volume invariances <p>Assign the remaining properties as reading</p> |
| 4.2.5 | <p>Pseudo-random signals</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Properties of interest Generation using shift-registers |
| 4.2.6 | <p>Resolution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Model of problem, discrete and continuous resolution environments, possible solutions: optimum or “conventional” receiver Performance of conventional receiver, intuitive discussion of optimal signal design <p>Assign the remainder of Section 4.2.6 and Section 4.2.7 as reading.</p> |
-

Lecture 31

- 4.3** Singly spread targets (or channels)
 Frequency spreading—delay spreading
 Model for Doppler-spread channel
 Derivation of statistics (output covariance)
 Intuitive discussion of time-selective fading
 Optimum receiver for Doppler-spread target (simple example)
- Assign the remainder of Section 4.3 as reading
- Doubly spread targets
 Physical problems of interest: reverberation, scatter communication
 Model for doubly spread return, idea of target or channel scattering function
Reverberation (resolution in a dense environment)
 Conventional or optimum receiver
 Interaction between targets, scattering function, and signal ambiguity function
 Typical target-reverberation configurations
 Optimum signal design
- Assign the remainder of Chapter II-4 as reading
-

Lecture 32	
Chapter II-5	
5.1	Physical situations in which multiple waveform and multiple variable problems arise Review vector Karhunen-Loève expansion briefly (Chapter I-3)
5.3	Formulate array processing problem for sonar
5.3.1	Active sonar Consider single-signal source, develop array steering Homogeneous noise case, array gain Comparison of optimum space-time system with conventional space-optimum time system Beam patterns Distributed noise fields Point noise sources
5.3.2	Passive sonar Formulate problem, indicate result. Assign derivation as reading Assign remainder of Chapter II-5 and Chapter II-6 as reading